Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/032,215	ELSEGOOD, STEWARD D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Matthew O Savage</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Michael L. Fuller.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 10, 11, 17-19, 21, and 30		
Prior art documents discussed:  Art of record.		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Examine	r Initiated Interview Summary	Paper No. 050204

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to patently distinguish over Hueber et al taken in combination with Reinosa. See the attached reasons for allowance for details. Agreed to cancel dependent claims 3, 11, and 21, the subject matter of which had been incorporated in the respective independent claims. Agreed to cancel claim 11 of which recited structure that was considered redundant of the "first connecting means" recited in claim 10. Agreed to change the dependency of claim 18 from canceled claim 17 to claim 10, and to change "ring support" to "magnet support" to correspond to the changes made to claim 10...